

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-278V

Filed: June 30, 2015

Unpublished

\*\*\*\*\*

JANICE SCHROEDER,

\*

\*

Petitioner,

\*

\*

\*

SECRETARY OF HEALTH

\*

AND HUMAN SERVICES,

\*

Respondent.

\*

\*

\*\*\*\*\*

*Jeffrey S. Pop, Jeffrey S. Pop, Attorney at Law, Beverly Hills, CA, for petitioner.*

*Christine Becer, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **RULING ON ENTITLEMENT**<sup>1</sup>

**Vowell**, Chief Special Master:

On March 18, 2015, Janice Schroeder filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> [the “Vaccine Act” or “Program”]. Petitioner alleges that as a result of an influenza [“flu”] vaccination on November 12, 2013, she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration [“SIRVA”]. Petition at 2. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On June 29, 2015, respondent filed her Rule 4(c) report in which she concedes that petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent’s Rule 4(c) Report at 1, 4. Specifically, respondent indicates that “petitioner’s alleged injury is consistent with a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration [SIRVA] and recommends that compensation be awarded in this case.” *Id.* at 1. Respondent further indicates that

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2006).

“petitioner’s injury is not due to factors unrelated to the administration of the flu vaccine” and that “the six month sequela requirement has been satisfied.” *Id.* at 4.

**In view of respondent’s concession and the evidence before me, I find that petitioner is entitled to compensation.**

**s/Denise K. Vowell**  
Denise K. Vowell  
Chief Special Master